

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,134	07/08/2003	Kenichi Sakamoto	501.37526CX1	5988	
24956 75	690 01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			LEVITAN, DMITRY		
1800 DIAGON	AL ROAD				
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2662	•	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons	10/614,134	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dmitry Levitan	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period well. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. Ice except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-19, 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceeding a complicant may not request that any objection to the oregonated to acceed to a complication of the complex of the	on from consideration. The election requirement. The epted or b) □ objected to by the Electronic or the legal of the legal or the le	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/614,134

Art Unit: 2662

Amendment, filed 01/11/05, has been entered. Claims 2-19, 21-23 remain pending.

Claim Objections

In light of Applicant's amendment, the objection to claim 20 has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In light of Applicant's amendment, the rejection of claims 13-16 under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 2-19, 21-23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,571. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. Claims 2-19, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCloghrie (US 6,035,105) in view of Chen (US 6,392,997).
- 2. Regarding claims 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10, McCloghrie substantially teaches the limitations of claims:

A packet communication apparatus, method and system to transmit a packet from a first network to a second network (LAN switch 103 and two networks 102 on Fig. 1 and 2:33-49), wherein the packet includes address (inherently part of any packet, because an address is essential for packet routing) and a first header (packet inherently comprise a header, because all packets/frames have headers, including tag 107 on Fig. 1 and 4:66-67, 5:1-6) used to compose a closed network in the first network comprising:

A packet generating unit/router which generates a second header used to compose a closed network in the second network based on the address and information in the first header (LAN switch 103 on Fig. 1 and 3:7-14 generating a second header by changing tag 107 as shown on Fig. 2 and 3:49-67); and

A transmitter which transmits a packet having thereto said second header (LAN switch 103 on Fig. 1 and 3:7-14).

McCloghrie teaches the networks as LANs utilizing the packets with MAC address (4:33-44).

Application/Control Number: 10/614,134

Art Unit: 2662

McCloghrie does not teach networks implementing IP and the IP packets including IP address.

Chen teaches interconnected IP networks (AS2 and AS3 IP networks on Fig. 1 and 4:14-30) utilizing the IP packets with IP address (4:25-30 and 5:2-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add using IP networks and packets with IP address of Chen to the system of McCloghrie to implement the method in widely used IP networks.

In addition, regarding claim 6, McCloghrie teaches receiving the packet (3:7-14).

- 3. Regarding claims 3, 7 and 11, McCloghrie teaches replacing the first header with the second header (3:11-14).
- 4. Regarding claims 4, 8 and 12, McCloghrie teaches a route decision processing unit (LAN switch 103) which routes the packet to the second network according to address (MAC address 4:33-44) and information in the first header (tag 107 4:62-64) using IP address of Chen instead of MAC address, as shown above.
- 5. Regarding claims 13, 16, 17, 20 and 21, McCloghrie substantially teaches the limitations of claims:

A packet communication apparatus, method and system to transmit a packet from a first network to a second network (LAN switch 103 and two networks 102 on Fig. 1 2:33-49), wherein the packet includes address (inherently part of any packet, because an address is essential for packet routing) and a first header (packet inherently comprise a header, because all packets/frames have headers, including tag 107 on Fig. 1 and 4:66-67, 5:1-6) used to compose a closed network in the first network comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/614,134

Art Unit: 2662

An index generating unit/router which generates a second header used to compose a closed network in the second network based on the index (LAN switch 103 on Fig. 1 and 3:7-14 generating a second header by changing index/tag 107 as shown on Fig. 2 and 3:49-67, based on the index/tag in table 206 as shown on Fig. 2 and 5:2-33); and

A transmitter which transmits a packet having thereto said second header (LAN switch 103 on Fig. 1 and 3:7-14).

McCloghrie teaches networks as LANs utilizing the packets with MAC address (4:33-44).

McCloghrie does not teach networks implementing IP and the IP packets including IP address.

Chen teaches interconnected IP networks (AS2 and AS3 IP networks on Fig. 1 and 4:14-30) utilizing the IP packets with IP address (4:25-30 and 5:2-13).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add using IP networks and packets with IP address of Chen to the system of McCloghrie to implement the method in widely used IP networks.

- 6. Regarding claims 15, 19 and 23, McCloghrie teaches a route decision processing unit (LAN switch 103) which routes the packet to the second network according to address (MAC address 4:33-44) and information in the first header (tag 107 4:62-64) using IP address of Chen instead of MAC address, as shown above.
- 7. Regarding claims 14, 18 and 22, McCloghrie teaches replacing the index with a second header (removing an identifier/tag of the first network with appropriate encapsulation/header and identifier for the second network 1:66-67 and 2:1-6).

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-19 and 21-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. On pages 8 and 9 of the Response, Applicant argues that McCloghrie teaches the networks as only LANs and there is no reason to combine McCloghrie teachings with IP networks of Chen

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, IP networks are widely used in the art and implementing the method of McCloghrie in the IP environment of Chen would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dmitry Levitan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3093. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 4:30.

Art Unit: 2662

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dmitry Levitan

Patent Examiner.

01/09/06